

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JOSE OCEGUEDO-GIL,

Case No. 2:18-cv-00756-APG-PAL

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

Respondents.

14 On May 4, 2018, the court dismissed this habeas action without prejudice
15 because petitioner failed to submit an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay
16 the filing fee (ECF No. 3). Judgment was entered (ECF No. 4).

17 On August 27, 2018, petitioner Oceguedo-Gil filed an application to proceed *in*
18 *forma pauperis* and submitted a habeas petition in this case (ECF No. 8). However, this
19 case is closed. As the court previously explained, if Oceguedo-Gil wishes to seek
20 habeas relief, he must file his petition on the correct form in a new action with a new
21 case number and include either the \$5.00 filing fee or a fully completed application to
22 proceed *in forma pauperis*.

23 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's application to proceed *in forma*
24 *pauperis* (ECF No. 8) is **DENIED**.

25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk **SHALL SEND** to petitioner one copy
26 of the documents at ECF No. 8, including attachments.

DATED: 31 August 2018.

ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE